UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA \$

vs. \$
NO: WA:18-CR-00305(1)-ADA \$

(1) GENEVA MADRID \$

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Before the court is the above styled and numbered cause. On April 2, 2024 the United States Probation Office filed a Petition For Warrant or Summons For Offender Under Supervision for Defendant (1) GENEVA MADRID, which alleged that Madrid violated a condition of her supervised release and recommended that Madrid 's supervised release be revoked (Clerk's Document No. 41). A warrant issued and Madrid was arrested. On December 20, 2024, Madrid appeared before a United States Magistrate Judge, was ordered detained, and a revocation of supervised release hearing was set.

Madrid appeared before the magistrate judge on February 4, 2025, waived her right to a preliminary hearing and to be present before the United States District Judge at the time of modification of sentence, and consented to allocution before the magistrate judge. Following the hearing, the magistrate judge signed his report and recommendation on February 7, 2025, which provides that having carefully considered all of the arguments and evidence presented by the Government and Defendant, based on the original offense

and the intervening conduct of Madrid, the magistrate judge recommends that this court revoke Madrid supervised release and that Madrid be sentenced to imprisonment for EIGHT (8) months, with no term supervised release to follow the term of imprisonment.

The defendant should receive credit for time served since her arrest on

December 18, 2024 (Clerk's Document No. 56).

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, and thereby secure a *de novo* review by the district court. *See* 28 U.S.C.§ 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). A party's failure to timely file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation in a report and recommendation bars that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass 'n*, 79 F.3d1415 (5th Cir. 1996) *(en bane)*. The parties in this cause were properly notified of the consequences of a failure to file objections.

On February 4, 2025, following the hearing on the motion to revoke supervised release, all parties signed a Waiver Of Fourteen Day Rule For Filing Objections To Report and Recommendation OfUnited States Magistrate Judge (Clerk's Document No. 55). The court, having reviewed the entire record and finding no plain error, accepts and adopts the report and recommendation filed in this cause.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed in this cause (Clerk's Document No. 56) is hereby ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED by this court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant (1) GENEVA MADRID's term of supervised release is hereby REVOKED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant (1) GENEVA MADRID be imprisoned for EIGHT (8) months with no term of supervised release. The defendant shall receive credit for time served since her arrest on December 18, 2024.

Signed this 13th day of February, 2025.

ALAN D ALBRIGHT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Page 3 of 3